arXiv:math/0512274v1 [math.CV] 13 Dec 2005

THE EQUIVALENT CLASSICAL METRICS ON THE CARTAN-HARTOGS DOMAINS

WEIPING YIN AND AN WANG

Abstract. In this paper we study the complete invariant metrics on Cartan-Hartogs domains which are the special types of Hua domains. Firstly, we introduce a class of new complete invariant metrics on these domains, and prove that these metrics are equivalent to the Bergman metric. Secondly, the Ricci curvatures under these new metrics are bounded from above and below by the negative constants. Thirdly, we estimate the holomorphic sectional curvatures of the new metrics, we prove that the holomorphic sectional curvatures are bounded from above and below by the negative constants. Finally, by using these new metrics and Yau's Schwarz lemma we prove that the Bergman metric is equivalent to the Einstein-Kähler metric. That means the Yau's conjecture is true on Cartan-Hartogs domain.

The concept of Hua domain was introduced by Weiping Yin in 1998. Since then, many good results have been obtained. The Bergman kernel functions are given in explicit forms[1-17]. The comparison theorems for Bergman metric and Kobayashi metric are proved on Cartan-Hartogs domains[18-21]. The explicit form of the Einstein-Kähler metric is got on non-symmetric domain which is the first time in the world[22-26], etc. In this paper we will study the equivalence between the classical metrics. There are many deep results on this subject. Let $\omega_B(D)$, $\omega_C(D)$, $\omega_K(D)$, $\omega_{EK}(D)$ be the Bergman metric, Carathéodory metric, Kobayashi metric and Einstein-Kähler metric on bounded domain D in $\mathbb{C}^n$ respectively. Then we have $\omega_C(D) \leqslant 2\omega_B(D)$[27,28], $\omega_C(D) \leqslant \omega_K(D)$[29], $\omega_C(D) = \omega_K(D)$ if D is the convex domain[30], $\omega_B(D) = \omega_{EK}(D)$ if D is the bounded homogeneous domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$[31,P.300]. For the $\omega_B(D)$ and $\omega_K(D)$, no relationship is known. People had hoped that the inequality $\omega_B(D) \leqslant C\omega_K(D)$ for some universal constant C would hold, but in 1980 Diederich and Foraess [32] showed that there exist pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^3$ where the quotient $\omega_B(D)/\omega_K(D)$ is unbounded. If the inequality $\omega_B(D) \leqslant C\omega_K(D)$ holds then we say that the comparison theorem for Bergman

---

Date: 18th September 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32H15, 32F07, 32F15.

Key words and phrases. Cartan-Hartogs, Einstein-Kähler metric, Bergman metric, holomorphic sectional curvature, Ricci curvature.

Project supported in part by NSF of China (Grant NO. 10471097) and the. Doctoral Programme Foundation of NEM of China.

metric and Kobayashi metric on D holds. The above comparison theorem [18-21] are in this sense. Recently, Kefeng Liu, Xiaofeng Sun and Shing-Tung Yau study the equivalence between the classical metrics on Teichmüller spaces and moduli spaces[33-35]. They proved that on Teichmüller spaces and moduli spaces the four classical metrics $\omega_B(D), \omega_C(D), \omega_K(D), \omega_{EK}(D)$ are equivalent. Especially, they proved the conjectures of Yau about the equivalence between the Einstein-Kähler metric and the Teichmüller metric and also its equivalence with the Bergman metric.

In this paper we study the complete invariant metrics on Cartan-Hartogs which is the special types of Hua domains. We prove that the Yau's conjecture is true on the Cartan-Hartogs domains. This paper is organized as follows. We introduce a class of new complete invariant metrics on Cartan-Hartogs and prove that these metrics are equivalent to the Bergman metrics of the Cartan-Hartogs domains in the first section. In the second section we prove that the Ricci curvatures of these new metrics are bounded from above and below by the negative constants. We prove also that the holomorphic sectional curvatures of these new metrics on Cartan-Hartogs domains are bounded from above and below by the negative constants in the third section. In the fourth section, by using these new metrics and Yau's Schwarz lemma[36] we prove that the Bergman metric is equivalent to the Einstein-Kähler metric. That means the Yau's conjecture[37] is true on Cartan-Hartogs domain.

The Cartan-Hartogs domains are defined as follows:

$$Y_I = \{W \in \mathbb{C}^N, Z \in R_I(m,n) : |W|^{2K} < \det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t), K > 0\},$$

$$Y_{II} = \{W \in \mathbb{C}^N, Z \in R_{II}(p) : |W|^{2K} < \det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t), K > 0\},$$

$$Y_{III} = \{W \in \mathbb{C}^N, Z \in R_{III}(q) : |W|^{2K} < \det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t), K > 0\},$$

$$Y_{IV} = \{W \in \mathbb{C}^N, Z \in R_{IV}(n) : |W|^{2K} < (1 - 2Z\overline{Z}^t + |ZZ^t|^2), K > 0\}.$$

Where det is the abbreviation of determinant; Z^t indicates the transpose of Z, $\overline{Z}$ denotes the conjugate of Z; and the $R_I(m,n)$, $R_{II}(p)$, $R_{III}(q)$, $R_{IV}(n)$ denote the classical domains in the sense of Hua[38](they are also called Cartan domains).

1. New complete invariant metrics on Y_I

In this section we will introduce the new complete invariant metrics on Y_I, and prove that these new metrics are equivalent to the Bergman metric on Y_I.

1.1. New invariant metrics

1.1.1. Suppose $(Z,W)\in Y_I, Z=(z_{ij}), W=(w_1,w_2,\dots,w_N)$ and let

$$Z_1=(z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{mn})=(z_{11},z_{12},\dots,z_{1n},\dots,z_{m1},z_{m2},\dots,z_{mn}),$$

$$Z_2=(z_{mn+1},z_{mn+2},\dots,z_{mn+N})=(w_1,w_2,\dots,w_N),$$

then the point (Z,W) can be denoted by a vector z with $mn+N$ entries, that is

$$z=(Z_1,Z_2)=(z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{mn},z_{mn+1},z_{mn+2},\dots,z_{mn+N}).$$

1.1.2. Let

$$G_\lambda=G_\lambda(Z,W)=Y^\lambda[\det(I-Z\overline{Z}^t)]^{-(m+n+\frac{N}{K})},\lambda>0,$$

$$T_{\lambda I}(Z,W;\overline{Z},\overline{W})=(g_{i\overline{j}})=\left(\frac{\partial^2\log G_\lambda}{\partial z_i\partial\overline{z}_j}\right),$$

where

$$Y=(1-X)^{-1}, X=|W|^2[\det(I-Z\overline{Z}^t)]^{-\frac{1}{K}}.$$

1.1.3. The following mappings are the holomorphic automorphism of Y_I, which map the point $(Z,W)\in Y_I$ onto point $(0,W^*)$:

$$\begin{cases} W^* &= W\det(I-Z_0\overline{Z}_0^t)^{\frac{1}{2K}}\det(I-Z\overline{Z}_0^t)^{-\frac{1}{K}}\\ Z^* &= A(Z-Z_0)(I-\overline{Z}_0^tZ)^{-1}\overline{D}^{-1}\end{cases}$$

Where $\overline{A}^tA=(I-Z_0\overline{Z}_0^t)^{-1},\overline{D}^tD=(I-\overline{Z}_0^tZ_0)^{-1}$. The set of these mappings is denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}(Y_I)$.

1.1.4. Let $f\in\mathrm{Aut}(Y_I)$ and $Z_0=Z$, then one has

$$T_{\lambda I}(Z,W;\overline{Z},\overline{W})=J_f|_{Z_0=Z}T_{\lambda I}(0,W^*;0,\overline{W^*})\overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z},$$

the Jacobian matrix of f is equal to

$$J_f=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\partial Z^*}{\partial Z_1} & \frac{\partial W^*}{\partial Z_1}\\ \frac{\partial Z^*}{\partial Z_2} & \frac{\partial W^*}{\partial Z_2}\end{pmatrix}$$

Let

$$J_f|_{Z_0=Z}=\begin{pmatrix}J_{11} & J_{12}\\ J_{21} & J_{22}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then one has

$$J_{11}=A^t\cdot\times\overline{D}^t,$$

$$J_{12}=\tfrac{1}{K}\det(I-Z\overline{Z}^t)^{-\frac{1}{2K}}E(Z)^tW,$$

$$J_{21}=0,$$

$$J_{22}=\det(I-Z\overline{Z}^t)^{-\frac{1}{2K}}I.$$

where

$$E(Z) = \Big(\mathrm{tr}[(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)^{-1} I_{11} \overline{Z}^t], \mathrm{tr}[(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)^{-1} I_{12} \overline{Z}^t], \cdots, \mathrm{tr}[(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)^{-1} I_{mn} \overline{Z}^t]\Big)$$

is the $1 \times mn$ matrix. And $I_{\alpha\beta}$ is $m \times n$ matrix, the $(\alpha\beta)$-th entry of $I_{\alpha\beta}$, i.e. the entry located at the junction of the α-th row and β-th column of $I_{\alpha\beta}$ is 1, and its others entries are zero. The meaning of $[A \cdot\times A]$ can be found in [39] or in 1.1.6 below.

1.1.5. By computations,one has

$$T_{\lambda I}(0, W^*; 0, \overline{W^*}) = \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{\lambda}{K} YX + m + n + \frac{N}{K})I & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda Y I + \lambda Y^2 \overline{W^*}^t W^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Where Y, X see 1.1.2.

1.1.6. Let

$$T_{\lambda I}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) = J_f|_{Z_0=Z} T_{\lambda I}(0, W^*; 0, \overline{W^*}) \overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

one has

$$T_{11} = (\tfrac{\lambda}{K} YX + m + n + \tfrac{N}{K})(A^t \overline{A} \cdot\times \overline{D}^t D) + \tfrac{\lambda}{K^2} Y^2 X E(Z)^t \overline{E(Z)},$$

$$T_{12} = \tfrac{1}{K} \det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)^{-\frac{1}{K}} \lambda Y^2 E(Z)^t W,$$

$$T_{21} = \overline{T}^t_{12},$$

$$T_{22} = \lambda Y \det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)^{-\frac{1}{K}} I + \det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)^{-\frac{2}{K}} \lambda Y^2 \overline{W}^t W.$$

The computations form 1.1.3 to 1.1.6 can be found in [19] for detail. The definition of $\cdot\times$ is the following (see [39]).

Definition: For the $r \times s$ matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1s} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{r1} & \dots & a_{rs} \end{pmatrix}.$$

and $p \times q$ matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1q} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ b_{p1} & \dots & b_{pq} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The $\cdot\times$ of these two matrices is as the follows:

$$A \cdot\times B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & \dots & a_{1s}B \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{r1}B & \dots & a_{rs}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Which is a $rp \times sq$ matrix.

1.1.7. From 1.1.5 and 1.1.6, one has $T_{\lambda I}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) > 0$, and then from the definition of G_λ in 1.1.2, the G_λ generates an invariant metric $\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ of Y_I.

1.2. New invariant metrics are equivalent to Bergman metric

Definition: Two metrics $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ of domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$ are called equivalent, if they are quasi-isometric to each other in the sense that

$$b \leqslant \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{E}} \leqslant a.$$

for two positive constants a and b. We will write this as $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{E}$.

The Bergman kernel function of Y_I has the following form[03]:

$$K_{Y_I} = K^{-mn} \pi^{-mn+N} G(X) \det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)^{-(m+n+\frac{N}{K})}.$$

Where $G(X) = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{mn+1} b_j \Gamma(N+j)(1-X)^{-(N+j)}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) = \quad & (x+1)[(x+1+Kn)(x+1+K(n-1))\dots(x+1+K)] \\ & [(x+1+K(n+1))(x+1+Kn)\dots(x+1+2K)] \\ & [(x+1+K(n+2))(x+1+K(n+1))\dots(x+1+3K)]\dots \\ & [(x+1+K(n+m-1))(x+1+K(n+m-2))\dots(x+1+mK)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $b_0 = P(-1) = 0$. And the other $b_j (j = 1, 2, \cdots, mn+1)$ is determined by the following form:

$$b_j = \frac{P(-j-1) - \sum\limits_{k=0}^{j-1} b_k (-1)^k \dfrac{\Gamma(j+1)}{\Gamma(j-k+1)}}{(-1)^j \Gamma(j+1)},$$

The Bergman kernel function of Y_I generates the Bergman metric $\omega_B(Y_I)$.

1.2.1. By calculations, the metric matrix of Bergman metric

$$\begin{aligned} T_{BI}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) &= J_f|_{Z_0=Z} T_{BI}(Z^*, W^*; \overline{Z^*}, \overline{W^*})|_{Z^*=0} \overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z} \\ &= J_f|_{Z_0=Z} \begin{pmatrix} [\frac{1}{K}M'X + m + n + \frac{N}{K}]I & 0 \\ 0 & M'I + M''\overline{W^*}^t W^* \end{pmatrix} \overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\log G(X) = M, \qquad \frac{\partial \log G(X)}{\partial X} = M', \qquad \frac{\partial^2 \log G(X)}{\partial X^2} = M''.$$

And $J_f|_{Z_0=Z}$ is same as that in 1.1.4. For the details please see [03]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_B(Y_I))^2 &= dz J_f|_{Z_0=Z} T_{BI}(0, W^*; 0, \overline{W^*}) \overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z} \overline{dz}^t \\ &= dz J_f|_{Z_0=Z} \begin{pmatrix} [\frac{1}{K}M'X + m + n + \frac{N}{K}]I & 0 \\ 0 & M'I + M''\overline{W^*}^t W^* \end{pmatrix} \overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z} \overline{dz}^t. \end{aligned}$$

1.2.2. Due to 1.1.5., one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I))^2 &= dz J_f|_{Z_0=Z} T_{\lambda I}(0, W^*; 0, \overline{W^*}) \overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z} \overline{dz}^t \\ &= dz J_f|_{Z_0=Z} \begin{pmatrix} [\frac{1}{K}\lambda Y X + m + n + \frac{N}{K}]I & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda Y I + \lambda Y^2 \overline{W^*}^t W^* \end{pmatrix} \overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z} \overline{dz}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Where Y, X are same as that in 1.1.2.

1.2.3. Let $dzJ_f|_{Z_0=Z}=(d\tilde{z},d\Im)$, one has
$(\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I))^2=(\frac{\lambda YX}{K}+m+n+\frac{N}{K})|d\tilde{z}|^2+d\Im(\lambda YI+\lambda Y^2\overline{W^*}^tW^*)\overline{d\Im}^t$,
$(\omega_B(Y_I))^2=(\frac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\frac{N}{K})|d\tilde{z}|^2+d\Im(M'I+M''\overline{W^*}^tW^*)\overline{d\Im}^t$.

1.2.4. From [39], the vector $W^*=(w_1^*,w_2^*,\cdots,w_N^*)$ can be written as

$$W^*=e^{i\theta}(\mu,0,\cdots,0)U,\quad \mu\geqslant 0,$$

where U is the unitary matrix, hence

$$\overline{W^*}^tW^*=\overline{U}^t\begin{pmatrix}\mu & 0\\ 0 & \mathbf{0}\end{pmatrix}U,$$

$$M'I^{(N)}+M''\overline{W}^{*t}W^*=\overline{U}^t\begin{pmatrix}M'+M''\mu^2 & 0\\ 0 & M'I^{(N-1)}\end{pmatrix}U,$$

$$\lambda YI^{(N)}+\lambda Y^2\overline{W^*}^tW^*=\overline{U}^t\begin{pmatrix}\lambda Y+\lambda Y^2\mu^2 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda YI^{(N-1)}\end{pmatrix}U>0.$$

1.2.5. Let $d\Im\overline{U}^t=(dv,d\tilde{W})$, then $\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ and $\omega_B(Y_I)$ can be written as:
$(\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I))^2=(\frac{\lambda YX}{K}+m+n+\frac{N}{K})|d\tilde{z}|^2+(\lambda Y+\lambda Y^2\mu^2)|dv|^2+\lambda Y|d\tilde{W}|^2$,
$(\omega_B(Y_I))^2=(\frac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\frac{N}{K})|d\tilde{z}|^2+(M'+M''\mu^2)|dv|^2+M'|d\tilde{W}|^2$.

1.2.6. $T_{\lambda I}(Z,W;\overline{Z},\overline{W})>0$ and $T_{BI}(Z,W;\overline{Z},\overline{W})>0$, these imply

$$\frac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\frac{N}{K}>0,\quad M'+M''\mu^2>0,\quad M'>0,\quad Y>0.$$

1.2.7. Let

$$\varPhi(X)=\frac{\frac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\frac{N}{K}}{\frac{\lambda YX}{K}+m+n+\frac{N}{K}},\quad \varPsi(X)=\frac{M'+M''\mu^2}{\lambda Y+\lambda Y^2\mu^2},\quad \varUpsilon(X)=\frac{M'}{\lambda Y},$$

then all of $\varPhi(X),\varPsi(X)$, $\varUpsilon(X)$ is positive continues function of X on the interval $[0,1)$. If

$$\lim_{X\to 1}\varPhi(X),\qquad \lim_{X\to 1}\varPsi(X),\qquad \lim_{X\to 1}\varUpsilon(X)$$

are existent and positive, then all of $\varPhi(X),\varPsi(X),\varUpsilon(X)$ have the positive maximum and the positive minimum on $[0,1)$.

1.2.8. Because

$$G(X)=\sum_{j=0}^{mn+1}b_j\varGamma(N+j)(1-X)^{-(N+j)}=\sum_{j=0}^{mn+1}b_j\varGamma(N+j)Y^{(N+j)},$$

therefore

$$\frac{dG(Y)}{dX}=G'(X)=\sum_{j=0}^{mn+1}b_j\varGamma(N+j+1)Y^{(N+j+1)},$$

$$\frac{d^2G(Y)}{dX^2}=G''(X)=\sum_{j=0}^{mn+1}b_j\varGamma(N+j+2)Y^{(N+j+2)}.$$

Let

$$M'=G'(X)G^{-1}(X),$$

$$M'' = G''(X)G^{-1}(X) - G'(X)^2G^{-2}(X).$$

1.2.9. We will compute the limits of $\varPhi(X)$, $\varPsi(X)$ and $\varUpsilon(X)$ when X tends to 1. By calculations, one has

$$\lim_{X\to 1}\varPhi(X) = \lim_{Y\to\infty}\varPhi(X) = \frac{mn+N+1}{\lambda}.$$

Hence there exists $0 < \nu < \delta$ such that

$$0 < \nu \leqslant \varPhi(X) \leqslant \delta.$$

1.2.10. Similarly, one has

$$\lim_{X\to 1}\varPsi(X) = \lim_{Y\to\infty}\varPsi(X) = \frac{mn+N+1}{\lambda},$$

and

$$\lim_{X\to 1}\varUpsilon(X) = \lim_{Y\to\infty}\varUpsilon(X) = \frac{mn+N+1}{\lambda}.$$

Therefore there exist $\zeta,\ \eta,\ \rho$ and ϱ such that

$$0 < \zeta \leqslant \varPsi(X) \leqslant \eta, \quad 0 < \rho \leqslant \varUpsilon(X) \leqslant \varrho.$$

1.2.11. Let $a^2 = \max\{\mu, \eta, \varrho\}$ and $b^2 = \min\{\nu, \zeta, \rho\}$, then one has $0 < b \leqslant \frac{\omega_B(Y_I)}{\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)} \leqslant a$. Therefore the following theorem is proved

Theorem: All of the above new complete invariant metrics is equivalent to the Bergman metric on Y_I. That is

$$\omega_B(Y_I) \sim \omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I).$$

Because the Bergman metric $\omega_B(Y_I)$ is complete ([40]), hence the new metric $\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ is also complete.

1.2.12. By using the same idea and method. If we introduce the following functions

$$G_\lambda = Y^\lambda[\det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)]^{-(p+1+\frac{N}{K})}, \lambda > 0;$$

$$(Y = (1-X)^{-1}, X = |W|^2[\det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)]^{-\frac{1}{K}}, (Z, W) \in Y_{II})$$

$$G_\lambda = Y^\lambda[\det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)]^{-(q-1+\frac{N}{K})}, \lambda > 0;$$

$$(Y = (1-X)^{-1}, X = |W|^2[\det(I - Z\overline{Z}^t)]^{-\frac{1}{K}}, (Z, W) \in Y_{III})$$

$$G_\lambda = Y^\lambda\beta(Z, \overline{Z})^{-(n+\frac{N}{K})}, \lambda > 0;$$

$$(Y = (1-X)^{-1}, X = |W|^2[\beta(Z, \overline{Z})]^{-\frac{1}{K}}, \beta(Z, \overline{Z}) = 1 + |ZZ^t|^2 - 2Z\overline{Z}^t, (Z, W) \in Y_{IV})$$

for Y_{II}, Y_{III}, Y_{IV} respectively, then above functions generate the complete invariant metrics and equivalent to the Bergman metrics on Y_{II}, Y_{III}, Y_{IV} respectively.

2. RICCI CURVATURES OF NEW COMPLETE INVARIANT METRICS

In this section we will prove that the Ricci curvatures of new complete invariant metrics are bounded from above and below by the negative constants on the Cartan-Hartogs domains.

2.1. Due to the definition, the Ricci curvature $Ric_{\lambda I}$ of $\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ on Y_I has the following form

$$Ric_{\lambda I}=-\frac{dz(\frac{\partial^2\log[\det T_{\lambda I}(Z,W;\overline{Z},\overline{W})]}{\partial z_i\partial\overline{z}_j})\overline{dz}^t}{dzT_{\lambda I}(Z,W;\overline{Z},\overline{W})\overline{dz}^t}.$$

2.2. Let

$$G_I(X)=\left(\frac{\lambda Y}{K}+m+n+\frac{N-\lambda}{K}\right)^{mn}\lambda^N Y^{N+1},$$

then from 1.1.4 and 1.1.5., one has

$$\det T_{\lambda I}(Z,W;\overline{Z},\overline{W})=G_I(X)[\det(I-Z\overline{Z}^t)]^{-(m+n+\frac{N}{K})}.$$

2.3. Similar to 1.2.1, one has

$$dz\left(\frac{\partial^2\log[\det T_{\lambda I}(Z,W;\overline{Z},\overline{W})]}{\partial z_i\partial\overline{z}_j}\right)\overline{dz}^t:=(\omega_{det}(Y_I))^2$$

$$=dzJ_f|_{Z_0=Z}\begin{pmatrix}[\frac{1}{K}M_I'X+m+n+\frac{N}{K}]I & 0\\ 0 & M_I'I+M_I''\overline{W^*}^tW^*\end{pmatrix}\overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z}\overline{dz}^t.$$

Where

$$\log G_I(X)=M_I,\quad \frac{\partial\log G_I(X)}{\partial X}=M_I',\quad \frac{\partial^2\log G_I(X)}{\partial X^2}=M_I''.$$

Here $J_f|_{Z_0=Z}$ is same as that in 1.1.4. In the following we will prove

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2\log[\det T_{\lambda I}(Z,W;\overline{Z},\overline{W})]}{\partial z_i\partial\overline{z}_j}\right)>0.$$

That is the

$$\begin{pmatrix}[\frac{1}{K}M_I'X+m+n+\frac{N}{K}]I & 0\\ 0 & M_I'I+M_I''\overline{W^*}^tW^*\end{pmatrix}$$

is positive definite matrix. By calculations one has

$$M_I'=\frac{mn\lambda Y^2}{\lambda Y+K(m+n)+N-\lambda}+(N+1)Y>0,$$

hence

$$\frac{1}{K}M_I'X+m+n+\frac{N}{K}=\frac{mn\lambda Y(Y-1)}{K(\lambda Y+K(m+n)+N-\lambda)}$$
$$+\frac{(N+1)(Y-1)}{K}+m+n+\frac{N}{K}>0.$$

By calculations, one has

$$M_I''=\frac{mn\lambda Y^3(\lambda Y+2Km+2Kn+2N-2\lambda)}{(\lambda Y+K(m+n)+N-\lambda)^2}+(N+1)Y^2.$$

Because $W^* = (w_1^*, w_2^*, \cdots, w_N^*)$ can be denoted by

$$W^* = e^{i\theta}(\mu, 0, \cdots, 0)U, \quad \mu \geqslant 0,$$

where U is (N, N) unitary matrix, therefore

$$\overline{W^*}^t W^* = \overline{U}^t \begin{pmatrix} \mu^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} U,$$

$$M_I' I^{(N)} + M_I'' \overline{W^*}^t W^* = \overline{U}^t \begin{pmatrix} M_I' + M_I''\mu^2 & 0 \\ 0 & M_I' I^{(N-1)} \end{pmatrix} U,$$

but $W^* \overline{W^*}^t = X = \mu^2$. By calculations, one has

$$\begin{gathered} M_I' + M_I''\mu^2 = M_I' + M_I'' X = (N+1)Y^2 + \frac{mn\lambda Y^2}{(\lambda Y + K(m+n) + N - \lambda)^2}[(\lambda Y \\ + K(m+n) + N - \lambda)(Y-1) + Y(K(m+n) + N)] > 0. \end{gathered}$$

Therefore we proved that

$$\begin{pmatrix} [\frac{1}{K} M_I' X + m + n + \frac{N}{K}]I & 0 \\ 0 & M_I' I + M_I'' \overline{W^*}^t W^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive matrix. That is

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log[\det T_{\lambda I}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W})]}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j} \right) > 0.$$

2.4. From 1.2.2., we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & dz T_{\lambda I}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) \overline{dz}^t = (\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I))^2 \\ = {} & dz J_f|_{Z_0=Z} \begin{pmatrix} [\frac{1}{K}\lambda Y X + m + n + \frac{N}{K}]I & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda Y I + \lambda Y^2 \overline{W^*}^t W^* \end{pmatrix} \overline{J_f}^t|_{Z_0=Z} \overline{dz}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Where Y, X are same as that in 1.1.2.

2.5. Let $dz J_f|_{Z_0=Z} = (d\tilde{z}, d\Im)$, then similar from 1.2.4 to 1.2.5, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I))^2 &= (\tfrac{\lambda Y X}{K} + m + n + \tfrac{N}{K})|d\tilde{z}|^2 + (\lambda Y + \lambda Y^2 \mu^2)|dv|^2 + \lambda Y |d\tilde{W}|^2, \\ (\omega_{det}(Y_I))^2 &= (\tfrac{1}{K} M_I' X + m + n + \tfrac{N}{K})|d\tilde{z}|^2 + (M_I' + M_I''\mu^2)|dv|^2 + M_I' |d\tilde{W}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

2.6. Because $T_{\lambda I}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) > 0$ and $(\frac{\partial^2 \log[\det T_{\lambda I}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W})]}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j}) > 0$, hence

$$\frac{1}{K} M_I' X + m + n + \frac{N}{K} > 0, \quad M_I' + M_I''\mu^2 > 0, \quad M_I' > 0, \quad Y > 0.$$

2.7. Let

$$\varPhi_I(X) = \frac{\frac{1}{K} M_I' X + m + n + \frac{N}{K}}{\frac{\lambda Y X}{K} + m + n + \frac{N}{K}}, \quad \varPsi_I(X) = \frac{M_I' + M_I''\mu^2}{\lambda Y + \lambda Y^2 \mu^2}, \quad \varUpsilon_I(X) = \frac{M_I'}{\lambda Y},$$

then $\varPhi_I(X), \varPsi_I(X)$ and $\varUpsilon_I(X)$ are the positive and continuous functions of X on $[0, 1)$. If the

$$\lim_{X \to 1} \varPhi_I(X), \quad \lim_{X \to 1} \varPsi_I(X), \quad \lim_{X \to 1} \varUpsilon_I(X)$$

exist and positive, then $\varPhi_I(X), \varPsi_I(X), \varUpsilon_I(X)$ have the positive maximum and positive minimum on $[0, 1)$.

2.8. We know the values of M_I', M_I'' in 2.3., therefore one can calculate the limits of $\Phi_I(X), \Psi_I(X)$ and $\Upsilon_I(X)$ as $X \to 1$.

2.9. It is easy to show that

$$\lim_{X\to 1} \Phi_I(X) = \lim_{Y\to\infty} \Phi_I(X) = \frac{mn+N+1}{\lambda}.$$

then there exists $0 < \nu < \delta$ such that

$$0 < \nu \leqslant \Phi(X) \leqslant \delta.$$

2.10. By the same method, one has

$$\lim_{X\to 1} \Psi_I(X) = \lim_{Y\to\infty} \Psi_I(X) = \frac{mn+N+1}{\lambda}.$$

and

$$\lim_{X\to 1} \Upsilon_I(X) = \lim_{Y\to\infty} \Upsilon_I(X) = \frac{mn+N+1}{\lambda}.$$

Therefore there exist $\zeta,\ \eta,\ \rho$ and ϱ such that

$$0 < \zeta \leqslant \Psi(X) \leqslant \eta, \quad 0 < \rho \leqslant \Upsilon(X) \leqslant \varrho.$$

2.11. Let $a^2 = \max\{\mu,\ \eta,\ \varrho\}$ and $b^2 = \min\{\nu,\ \zeta,\ \rho\}$, then one has

$$0 < b \leqslant \frac{\omega_{\det}(Y_I)}{\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)} \leqslant a.$$

2.12. Up to now, the following theorem is proved.

Theorem: The Ricci curvature of $\omega_\lambda(Y_I)$ on Y_I is bounded from above and below by the negative constants, that is

$$-a \leqslant Ric_{\lambda I} = -\frac{\omega_{det}(Y_I)}{\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)} \leqslant -b.$$

2.13. By using the same method, the Ricci curvature of $\omega_{G_{\lambda II}}(\omega_{G_{\lambda III}}, \omega_{G_{\lambda IV}})$ on $Y_{II}(Y_{III}, Y_{IV})$ is also bounded from above and below by the negative constants.

3. Holomorphic sectional curvature of the new metrics

In this section, the estimate of the holomorphic sectional curvatures of new complete invariant metrics on Cartan-Hartogs domains will be given. They are bounded form above and below by the negative constants.

3.1. By the definition the holomorphic sectional curvature $\omega_{\lambda I}(z, dz)$ of $\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ on Y_I has the following form:

$$\omega_{\lambda I}(z, dz) = \frac{dz(-\overline{d}dT + dTT^{-1}\overline{dT}^t)\overline{dz}^t}{(dzT\overline{dz}^t)^2},$$

where $T = T_{\lambda I}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W})$. Because the holomorphic sectional curvature is invariant under the mapping of $\mathrm{Aut}(Y_I)$. And for any $(Z, W) \in Y_I$, there exists a

$f \in \mathrm{Aut}(Y_I)$ such that $f(Z, W) = (0, W^*)$. Therefore it is sufficient to compute the value of $\omega_{\lambda I}(z, dz)$ at point $(0, W^*)$. In the following computation, W stands for W^* for the sake of convenience. And if $Z^* = 0$ then $|W^*|^2 = X$. Where the X can be found in 1.1.2.

3.2. Because

$$dT = \begin{pmatrix} dT_{11} & dT_{12} \\ dT_{21} & dT_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{d}dT = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{d}dT_{11} & \overline{d}dT_{12} \\ \overline{d}dT_{21} & \overline{d}dT_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Where the $T_{11}, T_{12}, T_{21}, T_{22}$ can be found in 1.1.6.

3.3. We will compute the value of T, T^{-1}, $\overline{d}dT$, dT, $\overline{dT}$ at point $(0, W)$. Where

$$G(X) = Y^{\lambda},\ \log G(X) = M = \log Y^{\lambda},\ \frac{\partial \log G(X)}{\partial X} = M' = \lambda Y,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log G(X)}{\partial X^2} = M'' = \lambda Y^2.\ M''' = 2\lambda Y^3,\ M^{(4)} = 6\lambda Y^4.$$

Remark: Where the M, M', M'' are different from that in 1.2.1 to 1.2.8. By complicate calculations, one has

$$T|_{Z=0} = \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{1}{K}M'X + m + n + \frac{N}{K})I & 0 \\ 0 & M'I + M''\overline{W}^t W \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$T^{-1}|_{Z=0} = \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{1}{K}M'X + m + n + \frac{N}{K})^{-1}I & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{M'}(I - (M' + M''X)^{-1}\overline{W}^t W M'') \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$dT|_{Z=0} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{XM''+M'}{K}\overline{W}dW^t I & 0 \\ \frac{XM''+M'}{K}\overline{W}^t dZ_1 & M'''(\overline{W}dW^t)\overline{W}^t W + M''(\overline{W}dW^t I + \overline{W}^t dW) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{d}dT_{11}|_{Z=0} \quad &= \tfrac{X}{K^2}(XM'' + M')|dZ_1|^2 I + (\tfrac{1}{K}M'X + m + n + \tfrac{N}{K})(\overline{dZ}dZ^t \cdot\!\times I \\ &\quad + I \cdot\!\times \overline{dZ^t}dZ) + \tfrac{X}{K^2}(XM'' + M')\overline{dZ_1^t}dZ_1 \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{K}(XM''' + 2M'')|W\overline{dW}^t|^2 I + \tfrac{1}{K}(XM'' + M')|dW|^2 I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{d}dT_{12}|_{Z=0} = \tfrac{1}{K}(XM''' + 2M'')(\overline{W}dW^t)(\overline{dZ_1^t}W) + \tfrac{1}{K}(XM'' + M')(\overline{dZ_1^t}dW).$$

$$\overline{d}dT_{21}|_{Z=0} = \tfrac{1}{K}(XM''' + 2M'')(\overline{W}dW^t)(\overline{W}^t dZ_1) + \tfrac{1}{K}(XM'' + M')(\overline{dW}^t dZ_1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{d}dT_{22}|_{Z=0} \quad &= \tfrac{1}{K}(XM'' + M')|dZ_1|^2 I + \tfrac{1}{K}(XM''' + 2M'')|dZ_1|^2\overline{W}^t W \\ &\quad + (M''I + M'''\overline{W}^t W)|dW|^2 + M''\overline{dW}^t dW + M^{(4)}\overline{W}^t W|W\overline{dW^t}|^2 \\ &\quad + M'''[|W\overline{dW}^t|^2 I + (\overline{W}dW^t)(\overline{dW}^t W) + (W\overline{dW}^t)(\overline{W}^t dW)]. \end{aligned}$$

3.4. Let

$$-\overline{d}dT + dT T^{-1}\overline{dT'}|_{Z=0} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

then one has

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{11} \quad &= \quad \bigl(\tfrac{1}{K^2}(XM''+M')^2(\tfrac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\tfrac{N}{K})^{-1}- \\
&\quad \tfrac{1}{K}(XM'''+2M'')\bigr)\, I|\overline{W}dW^t|^2 - \tfrac{X}{K^2}(XM''+M')|dZ_1|^2 I \\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{K}(XM''+M')|dW|^2 I - \tfrac{X}{K^2}(XM''+M')\overline{dZ}^t dZ \\
&\quad -(\tfrac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\tfrac{N}{K})(\overline{dZ}dZ^t \cdot\!\times I + I \cdot\!\times \overline{dZ}^t dZ), \\
R_{12} \quad &= \quad [\tfrac{1}{K^2}(XM''+M')^2(\tfrac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\tfrac{N}{K})^{-1} \\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{K}(XM'''+2M'')]\overline{W}dW^t\overline{dZ_1}^t W - \tfrac{1}{K}(XM''+M')(\overline{dZ_1}^t dW), \\
R_{21} \quad &= \quad \overline{R^t}_{12}, \\
R_{22} \quad &= \quad (M')^{-1}[M'''(XM'''+4M'') - (XM''+M')^{-1}M''(XM'''+2M'')^2] \\
&\quad |W\overline{dW}^t|^2\overline{W}^t W + [(M'')^2(M')^{-1} - M'''](\overline{W}dW^t I + \overline{W}^t dW) \\
&\quad (\overline{dW}W^t I + \overline{dW}^t W) - \tfrac{1}{K}(XM''+M')|dZ_1|^2 I - M''|dW|^2 I \\
&\quad +[\tfrac{1}{K^2}(XM''+M')^2(\tfrac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\tfrac{N}{K})^{-1} \\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{K}(XM'''+2M'')]|dZ_1|^2\overline{W}^t W - M''\overline{dW}^t dW - M^{(4)}|W\overline{dW}^t|^2\overline{W}^t W.
\end{aligned}$$

3.5. Because

$$\begin{aligned}
& dz\left(-\overline{\partial}\partial T + \partial T T^{-1}\overline{\partial T}^t\right)\overline{dz}^t|_{Z=0} \\
&= (dZ_1, dW)\begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix}(\overline{dZ}_1, \overline{dW}^t) \\
&= dZ_1 R_{11}\overline{dZ}_1^t + dW R_{21}\overline{dZ}_1^t + dZ_1 R_{12}\overline{dW}^t + dW R_{22}\overline{dW}^t.
\end{aligned}$$

By calculations one has

$$dz[-\overline{\partial}\partial T + \partial T T^{-1}\overline{\partial T}^t]\overline{dz}^t|_{Z=0}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= P_1|W\overline{dW}^t|^4 + P_{12}|W\overline{dW}^t|^2|dW|^2 + P_2|dW|^4 \\
&\quad +Q_1|dW|^2|dZ_1|^2 + Q_2|W\overline{dW}^t|^2|dZ_1|^2 \\
&\quad +R|dZ_1|^4 - (\tfrac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\tfrac{N}{K})dZ_1(\overline{dZ}dZ^t \cdot\!\times I + I \cdot\!\times \overline{dZ^t}dZ)\overline{dZ}_1^t.
\end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= \tfrac{1}{M'}[M'''(XM'''+4M'')-(XM''+M')^{-1}M''(XM'''+2M'')^2]-M^{(4)}\\
&= -2\lambda Y^4,\\
P_{12} &= 4[(M'')^2(M')^{-1}-M'''] = -4\lambda Y^3,\\
P_2 &= -2M'' = -2\lambda Y^2,\\
Q_1 &= -\tfrac{4}{K}(XM''+M') = -\tfrac{4\lambda Y^2}{K},\\
Q_2 &= 4[\tfrac{1}{K^2}(XM''+M')^2(\tfrac{1}{K}M'X+m+n+\tfrac{N}{K})^{-1}-\tfrac{1}{K}(XM'''+2M'')]\\
&= \tfrac{4}{K^2}\lambda^2Y^4(\tfrac{\lambda Y}{K}+m+n+\tfrac{N-\lambda}{K})^{-1}-\tfrac{8}{K}\lambda Y^3\\
&= \tfrac{4}{K}\lambda^2Y^4(\lambda Y+M_1)^{-1}-\tfrac{8}{K}\lambda Y^3,\\
R &= -\tfrac{2}{K^2}(XM''+M')X = -\tfrac{2}{K^2}\lambda(Y^2-Y).
\end{aligned}$$

where $M_1 = (m+n)K+N-\lambda$.

3.6. By calculations one has

$$dZ_1(\overline{dZ}dZ^t\cdot\!\times I + I\cdot\!\times\overline{dZ}^t dZ)\overline{dZ_1}^t = 2\mathrm{tr}(dZ\overline{dZ}^t dZ\overline{dZ}^t).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1 &= P_1|W\overline{dW}^t|^4+P_{12}|W\overline{dW}^t|^2|dW|^2+P_2|dW|^4+Q_1|dW|^2|dZ_1|^2\\
&\quad +Q_2|W\overline{dW}^t|^2|dZ_1|^2+R|dZ_1|^4-2K^{-1}(\lambda Y+M_1)\mathrm{tr}(dZ\overline{dZ}^t dZ\overline{dZ}^t),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Omega_2 = [K^{-1}(\lambda Y+M_1)|dZ_1|^2+\lambda Y|dW|^2+\lambda Y^2|W\overline{dW}^t|^2)]^2.$$

Hence

$$\omega_{\lambda I}(z,dz)|_{Z=0} = \frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_2}.$$

3.7. Let Z be the (m,n) complex matrix, then it is easy to get

$$\mathrm{tr}(Z\overline{Z}^t Z\overline{Z}^t) \leqslant \mathrm{tr}(Z\overline{Z}^t)\mathrm{tr}(Z\overline{Z}^t) \leqslant m\mathrm{tr}(Z\overline{Z}^t Z\overline{Z}^t).$$

Therefore one has

$$\frac{2}{mK}(\lambda Y+M_1)|dZ_1|^4 \leqslant \frac{2}{K}(\lambda Y+M_1)\mathrm{tr}(dZ\overline{dZ}^t dZ\overline{dZ}^t) \leqslant \frac{2}{K}(\lambda Y+M_1)|dZ_1|^4.$$

3.8. The lower bound of holomorphic sectional curvature

3.8.1. From 3.6., we know that the P_1 and λ^2Y^4 are the coefficients of $|W\overline{dW}^t|^4$ in Ω_1 and Ω_2 respectively, let

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{P_1}{\lambda^2Y^4},$$

the P_{12} and $2\lambda^2Y^3$ are the coefficients of $|W\overline{dW}^t|^2|dW|^2$ in $\mathit{\Omega}_1$ and $\mathit{\Omega}_2$ respectively, let

$$\mathit{\Phi}_2 = \frac{P_{12}}{2\lambda^2Y^3},$$

the P_2 and λ^2Y^2 are the coefficients of $|dW|^4$ in $\mathit{\Omega}_1$ and $\mathit{\Omega}_2$ respectively, let

$$\mathit{\Phi}_3 = \frac{P_2}{\lambda^2Y^2},$$

the Q_1 and $2K^{-1}\lambda Y(\lambda Y + M_1)$ are the coefficients of $|dW|^2|dZ_1|^2$ in $\mathit{\Omega}_1$ and $\mathit{\Omega}_2$ respectively, let

$$\mathit{\Phi}_4 = \frac{Q_1}{2K^{-1}\lambda Y(\lambda Y + M_1)},$$

the Q_2 and $2K^{-1}(\lambda Y + M_1)\lambda Y^2$ are the coefficients of $|W\overline{dW}^t|^2|dZ_1|^2$ in $\mathit{\Omega}_1$ and $\mathit{\Omega}_2$ respectively, let

$$\mathit{\Phi}_5 = \frac{Q_2}{2K^{-1}(\lambda Y + M_1)\lambda Y^2}$$

and let

$$\mathit{\Phi}_6 = \frac{R|dZ_1|^4 - 2K^{-1}(\lambda Y + M_1)\mathrm{tr}(dZ\overline{dZ}^t dZ\overline{dZ}^t)}{K^{-2}(\lambda Y + M_1)^2|dZ_1|^4}.$$

Then one has

$$\mathit{\Phi}_1 = -\frac{2}{\lambda}, \quad \mathit{\Phi}_2 = -\frac{2}{\lambda}, \quad \mathit{\Phi}_3 = -\frac{2}{\lambda}, \quad \mathit{\Phi}_4 = -\frac{2Y}{\lambda Y + M_1}.$$

3.8.2. Because

$$\mathit{\Phi}_5 = -\frac{2Y(\lambda Y + 2M_1)}{(\lambda Y + M_1)^2} \geqslant -\frac{2Y[\lambda Y + 2(m+n)K + 2N]}{(\lambda Y + M_1)^2} := \mathit{\Phi}_{51}.$$

And by using the inequality in 3.7., one has

$$\mathit{\Phi}_6 \geqslant \frac{R|dZ_1|^4 - 2K^{-1}(\lambda Y + M_1)|dZ_1|^4}{K^{-2}(\lambda Y + M_1)^2|dZ_1|^4} = \frac{-2\lambda(Y^2 - Y) - 2K(\lambda Y + M_1)}{(\lambda Y + M_1)^2} := \mathit{\Phi}_{61}.$$

3.8.3. It is easy to see that the $\mathit{\Phi}_1$, $\mathit{\Phi}_2$, $\mathit{\Phi}_3$, $\mathit{\Phi}_4$, $\mathit{\Phi}_{51}$, $\mathit{\Phi}_{61}$ are the negative continues functions of Y on the interval $[1, \infty)$. If $Y \to \infty$, then their limits are existent and are the negative numbers. Hence all of $\mathit{\Phi}_1$, $\mathit{\Phi}_2$, $\mathit{\Phi}_3$, $\mathit{\Phi}_4$, $\mathit{\Phi}_{51}$, $\mathit{\Phi}_{61}$ have the negative minimums on $[1, \infty)$ respectively. Let $-a$ be the smallest one of them. Then it is easy to show that $\mathit{\Omega}_1 \geqslant -a\mathit{\Omega}_2$, that is

$$\omega_{\lambda I}(z, dz)|_{Z=0} \geqslant -a.$$

3.9. The upper bound of holomorphic sectional curvature

The $\omega_{\lambda I}(z, dz)|_{Z=0}$ can be rewritten as

$$\omega_{\lambda I}(z, dz)|_{Z=0} = -C + \frac{\mathit{\Omega}_3}{\mathit{\Omega}_4}, \quad C > 0.$$

Where

$$\mathit{\Omega}_3 = P_1^*|W\overline{dW}^t|^4 + P_{12}^*|W\overline{dW}^t|^2|dW|^2 + P_2^*|dW|^4 + Q_1^*|dW|^2|dZ_1|^2$$

$$+Q_2^*|W\overline{dW}^t|^2|dZ_1|^2+R^*|dZ_1|^4-2K^{-1}(\lambda Y+M_1)\mathrm{tr}(dZ\overline{dZ}^t dZ\overline{dZ}^t),$$

$$\mathit{\Omega}_4=[K^{-1}(\lambda Y+M_1)|dZ_1|^2+\lambda Y|dW|^2+\lambda Y^2|W\overline{dW}^t|^2)]^2,$$

$$P_1^*=P_1+C\lambda^2Y^4=-\lambda Y^4(2-C\lambda),$$

$$P_{12}^*=P_{12}+aC\lambda^2Y^3=-2\lambda Y^3(2-C\lambda),\ P_2^*=P_2+C\lambda^2Y^2=-\lambda Y^2(2-C\lambda),$$

$$Q_1^*=Q_1+2CK^{-1}\lambda Y(\lambda Y+M_1)=-4K^{-1}\lambda Y^2+2CK^{-1}\lambda Y(\lambda Y+M_1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}Q_2^*&=Q_2+2CK^{-1}\lambda Y^2(\lambda Y+M_1)\\&=4K^{-1}\lambda^2Y^4(\lambda Y+M_1)^{-1}-8K^{-1}\lambda Y^3+2CK^{-1}\lambda Y^2(\lambda Y+M_1),\end{aligned}$$

$$R^*=R+CK^{-2}(\lambda Y+M_1)^2=-2\lambda K^{-2}(Y^2-Y)+CK^{-2}(\lambda Y+M_1)^2.$$

If

$$P_1^*\leqslant 0,\quad P_{12}^*\leqslant 0,\quad P_2^*\leqslant 0,$$

and

$$Q_1^*|dW|^2|dZ_1|^2+Q_2^*|W\overline{dW}^t|^2|dZ_1|^2\leqslant 0,$$

$$R^*|dZ_1|^4-2K^{-1}(\lambda Y+M_1)\mathrm{tr}(dZ\overline{dZ}^t dZ\overline{dZ}^t)\leqslant 0,$$

then

$$\omega_{\lambda I}(z,dz)|_{Z=0}\leqslant -C.$$

3.9.1. It is easy to see that if $C\leqslant\frac{2}{\lambda}$, then $P_1^*\leqslant 0,\ P_{12}^*\leqslant 0,\ P_2^*\leqslant 0.$

3.9.2. Because $|W\overline{dW^t}|^2\leqslant|W|^2|dW|^2=X|dW|^2=(1-Y^{-1})|dW|^2$, and if $C\leqslant\frac{2\lambda Y}{\lambda Y+M_1}:=\mathit{\Phi}_{42}$, then $Q_1^*\leqslant 0$. At this time one has

$$Q_1^*|dW|^2|dZ_1|^2+Q_2^*|W\overline{dW^t}|^2|dZ_1|^2\leqslant(Q_1^*X^{-1}+Q_2^*)|W\overline{dW^t}|^2|dZ_1|^2.$$

Then by calculations, one has

$$Q_1^*X^{-1}+Q_2^*=\frac{2C\lambda Y^3(\lambda Y+M_1)}{K(Y-1)}-\frac{4\lambda Y^3[\lambda(Y-1)^2+(M_1+\lambda)(2Y-1)]}{K(Y-1)(\lambda Y+M_1)}.$$

Therefore if

$$C\leqslant\frac{2[\lambda(Y-1)^2+(M_1+\lambda)(2Y-1)]}{(\lambda Y+M_1)^2}:=\mathit{\Phi}_{52},$$

and

$$C\leqslant\frac{2Y}{(\lambda Y+M_1)}:=\mathit{\Phi}_{42},$$

where $M_1=(m+n)K+N-\lambda$, then

$$Q_1^*|dW|^2|dZ_1|^2+Q_2^*|W\overline{dW^t}|^2|dZ_1|^2\leqslant 0.$$

3.9.3. By using the inequality in 3.7., one has

$$R^*|dZ_1|^4-\frac{2(\lambda Y+M_1)\mathrm{tr}(dZ\overline{dZ}^t dZ\overline{dZ}^t)}{K}\leqslant R^*|dZ_1|^4-\frac{2(\lambda Y+M_1)}{mK}|dZ_1|^4.$$

By calculations, if

$$C \leqslant \frac{2[\lambda Y^2 + Km^{-1}(\lambda Y + M_1)]}{(\lambda Y + M_1)^2} := \Phi_{62},$$

then

$$R^*|dZ_1|^4 - 2K^{-1}(\lambda Y + M_1)\mathrm{tr}(dZ\overline{dZ}^t dZ\overline{dZ}^t) \leqslant 0.$$

3.9.4. Because $\Phi_{42},\ \Phi_{52},\ \Phi_{62}$ are the positive continues functions of Y on the interval $[1,\infty)$. It is easy to show that, when $Y \to \infty$, the limits of $\Phi_{42},\ \Phi_{52},\ \Phi_{62}$ are existent and are equal to the positive numbers $\frac{2}{\lambda}$. Then $\Phi_{42},\ \Phi_{52},\ \Phi_{62}$ have the positive minimums on $[1,\infty)$ respectively. Let b be the smallest one of them. Then if $C \leqslant b$, one has

$$Q_1^*|dW|^2|dZ_1|^2 + Q_2^*|W\overline{dW^t}|^2|dZ_1|^2 \leqslant 0,$$

$$R^*|dZ_1|^4 - 2K^{-1}(\lambda Y + M_1)\mathrm{tr}(dZ\overline{dZ}^t dZ\overline{dZ}^t) \leqslant 0.$$

3.9.5. From 3.9.1 to 3.9.4, there exists

$$C \leqslant \min\{b, \frac{2}{\lambda}\},$$

and $C > 0$ such that

$$\omega_{\lambda I}(z, dz) \leqslant -C.$$

By the 3.8.3 and 3.9.5., one has the following theorem.

3.10. Theorem: There exists positive constant a, C dependent on Y_I, λ such that the holomorphic sectional curvature $\omega_{\lambda I}(z, dz)$ of metric $\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ on Y_I satisfies

$$-a \leqslant \omega_{\lambda I}(z, dz) \leqslant -C.$$

This theorem is also true for the other Cartan-Hartogs domains.

4. Bergman metric is equivalent to the Einstein-Kähler metric

We proved that the new complete invariant metrics are equivalent to the Bergman metric on Cartan-Hartogs domains. We will prove that these new metrics are also equivalent to the Einstein-Kähler metric on Cartan-Hartogs. Therefore the Bergman metric is equivalent to the Einstein-Kähler metric on Cartan-Hartogs domain. By using the Yau's Schwarz lemma and the theorem 3.10, we can prove that the Bergman metric is equivalent to the Einstein-Kähler metric on Cartan-Hartogs domain.

4.1. Yau's Schwarz lemma[33]: Let $f : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$ be a holomorphic map between Kähler manifolds where M is complete and $Ric(g) \geqslant -cg$ with $c \geqslant 0$.

(1) if the holomorphic sectional curvature of N is bounded above by a negative constant, then $f^*h \leqslant \tilde{c}g$ for some constant $\tilde{c}$.

(2) If $m = n$ and the Ricci curvature of N is bounded above by a negative constant, then $f^*\omega_h^n \leqslant \tilde{c}\omega_g^n$ for some constant $\tilde{c}$.

Where ω_h^n and ω_g^n are the volume element for (M^m, g) and (N^n, h) respectively.

4.2. Consider the identity map

$$id : (Y_I, \omega_{EK}(Y_I)) \to (Y_I, \omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)),$$

Because the holomorphic sectional curvature of $\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ is bounded above by a negative constant . Yau's Schwarz lemma (1) implies

$$\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I) \leqslant C_1\omega_{EK}(Y_I).$$

Consider the identity map again

$$id : (Y_I, \omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)) \to (Y_I, \omega_{EK}(Y_I)).$$

Because the Ricci curvature of $\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ is bounded below by a negative constant. Yau's Schwarz lemma (2) implies

$$\omega_{EK}^{mn+N}(Y_I) \leqslant C_0\omega_{G_\lambda}^{mn+N}(Y_I).$$

Where $mn + N$ is the dimension of Y_I. This inequality implies

$$\det[T_{EKI}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W})] \leqslant C_0 \det[T_{\lambda I}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W})].$$

Because $T_{\lambda I}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) > 0,\ T_{EKI}(Z, W; \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) > 0$. Then from the following proposition, one has

$$\omega_{EK}(Y_I) \leq C_2\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I).$$

Proposition: Let A and B be positive definite $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices and let $\alpha,\ \beta$ be positive constants such that $B \geqslant \alpha A$ and $\det(B) \leqslant \beta \det(A)$. Then there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ depending on $\alpha,\ \beta$ and n such that $B \leqslant \gamma A$.

Up to now we proved that

Theorem: The Bergman metric is equivalent to the Einstein-Kähler metric on Y_I.

This theorem are also true for the other Cartan-Hartogs domains. Thus the Yau's conjecture is true for the Cartan-Hartogs domains.

4.3. If Y_I is convex, then $\omega_B(Y_I),\ \omega_C(Y_I),\ \ \omega_K(Y_I),\ \omega_{EK}(Y_I),\ \omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ are equivalence on Y_I. This fact is also true for the other convex Cartan-Hartogs domains.

4.4. Because the holomorphic sectional curvature of $\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I)$ is bounded above by negative constant, then by the ref.[41, p.136], one has

$$\omega_{G_\lambda}(Y_I) \leqslant \beta\omega_K(Y_I)$$

Hence

$$\omega_B(Y_I) \leqslant \beta_1\omega_K(Y_I)$$

and

$$\omega_{EK}(Y_I) \leqslant \beta_2\omega_K(Y_I).$$

4.5. Because $\omega_C(Y_I) \leqslant 2\omega_B(Y_I)$, then

$$\omega_C(Y_I) \leqslant \beta_3\omega_{EK}(Y_I).$$

Where $\beta,\ \beta_1,\ \beta_2,\ \beta_3$ are the positive constants. The facts in 4.4 and 4.5 are also true for the other Cartan-Hartogs domains.

REFERENCES

[1] Yin Weiping. The Bergman kernels on Cartan-Hartogs domains, Chinese Science Bulletin(Series A), 1999,44(21): 1947-1951.

[2] Weiping Yin. The Bergman kernels on Cartan-Hartogs domains of the fourth type(In Chinese). Acta Mathematica Sinica, 1999,42(5):951-960.

[3] Yin Weiping. The Bergman kernels on super-Cartan domain of the first type. Science in China(series A), 2000, 43(1): 13-21.

[4] Weiping Yin. The Bergman kernels on super-Cartan domain of the second type (In Chinese). Chinese Annals of mathematics, 2000,21A(3): 331-340.

[5] Weiping Yin. The Bergman kernels on super-Cartan domain of the third type (In Chinese). Advanced in Mathematics(CHINA), 2000,29(5): 425-434.

[6] Yin Weiping. The Bergman kernels on Cartan-Egg domain of the first type. Northeast Mathematics J., 2001,17(2):210-220.

[7] Yin Weiping. The Bergman kernels on Cartan-Hartogs domain. Complex Variables, 2001,43(3-4): 477-494.

[8] Weiping Yin, Bingxin Guan. The Bergman kernels on Hua domains of the fourth type(In Chinese). Acta Mathematica Sinica,2003,46(1): 85-94.

[9] Yin Weiping, Roos. New classes of domains with explicit Bergman kernel. Science in China Seris A Mathematics, 2004, 47(3): 352-371.

[10] Yin Weiping, Su Jianbing. The explicit computations of Bergman Kernels on Generalized Hua Domains. Progress in Natural Science, 2002,12(12):893-899.

[11] Yin Weiping, Wang Nan, Zhao Ling. Computations of Bergman Kernel on Cartan-Egg Domains of Second and Third Types.Boundary Value Problems, Integral Equations and Related Problems, Singapore: World Scientific,2000,253-260.

[12] Yin Weiping, Wang An, Zhao Zhengang, Zhao Xiaoxia, Guan Bingxin. The Bergman kernel functions on Hua domains. Science in China (Series A), 2001, 44(6): 727-741.

[13] Weiping Yin, Zhengang Zhao. The Bergman kernels on Hua domains of the second type(In Chinese). J. of Xiamen University (Nature Science Edition), 2001,40(6):473-476.

[14] Yin Weiping ,Zhao Zhengang. The Bergman Kernels on Generalized Exceptional Hua Domains. Science in China(Series A), 2002,45(3):321-334.

[15] Weiping Yin, Xiaoxia Zhao. The Bergman kernels on Hua domains of the third type(In Chinese). Chinese Annals of mathematics, 2003,24A(1): 81-90.

[16] Zhang Liyou. Bergman Kernel function on Hua construction of the second type. Science in China Ser.A Mathematics, 2005, 48(supp): 400-412.

[17] Zhang Wenjuan. Bergman kernel function on the third Hua construction.Science in China Ser.A Mathematics, 2005, 48(supp): 413-423.

[18] Yin Weiping, Zhao Xiaoxia. The comparison theorem on Cartan-Hartogs domain of the third type. Complex Variables, 2002,47(3): 183-201..

[19] Yin Weiping, Wang An, Zhao Xiaoxia. The comparison theorem for the Bergman and Kobayashi metrics on Cartan-Hartogs domains of the first type. Science in China(Series A), 2001,44(5):587-598.

[20] Lin Ping, Yin Weiping. The comparison theorem on Cartan-Hartogs domain of the fourth type(In Chinese). Advanced in Mathematics(CHINA), 2003,32(6):739-750.

[21] Zhao Xiaoxia, Ding Li, Yin Weiping. The comparison theorem on Cartan-Hartogs domain of the second type. Progress in Natural Science, 2004, 14(2): 105-112.

[22] Yin Weiping, Wang An. The Einstein-Kähler metric on Cartan-Hartogs domain of the first type. (In Chinese). Science in China(Series A), 2003,33(4):384-396.

[23] Wang An, Yin Weiping, Zhang Wenjuan. The Einstein-Kähler metric on Cartan-Hartogs domain of the third type. Advanced in Mathematics(CHINA), 2004, 33(2):215-228.

[24] Weiping Yin, An Wang,Liyou Zhang,Wenjuan Zhang. Einstein-Kähler metric with explicit formula on non-homogeneous domain. Asian J.of Mathematics, 2004, 8(1):39-49.

[25] Wang An,Yin Weiping. The Einstein-Kähler metric on Hua constraction of the first type. Science in China Ser.A Mathematics, 2005, 48(5): 711-719.

[26] Yin Xiaolan, Zhao Xiaoxia. The computations of Einstein-Kähler metric of Cartan-Hartogs domain. Science in China Ser.A Mathematics, 2005, 48(supp): 365-376.

[27] Qikeng Lu(=K.H.Look). Schwarz lemma and analytic invariants. Sci. Sinica, 1958,7:435-504.

[28] K.T. Hahn. Inequality between the bergman metric and Carathéodory differential metric. Proc. Amer.Math.Soc. 1978,68:193-194.

[29] S.Kobayashi. Intrinsic sistances, measures and geometric function theory. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 1976, 82: 357-416.

[30] S.Kobayashi. Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1970.

[31] S.Helgason. Differential Geometry and Symmetric spaces. New York and London: Academic Press, 1962

[32] K.Diederich and J.E.Fornaess. Comparison of the Kobayashi and the Bergman metrics. Math.Ann. 1980, 254: 257-262.

[33] Kefeng Liu,Xiaofeng Sun,Shing-Tung Yau. Geometric aspects of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. Science in China Ser.A Mathematics, 2005, 48(Supp.):97-122.

[34] Kefeng Liu,Xiaofeng Sun,Shing-Tung Yau. Canonical metrics on the moduli space of Riemann surface I. J.Differential Geom., to appear.

[35] Kefeng Liu,Xiaofeng Sun,Shing-Tung Yau. Canonical metrics on the moduli space of Riemann surface II. J.Differential Geom., to appear.

[36] Shing-Tung Yau. A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds. Amer.J.Math.,1978, 100(1): 197-203.

[37] S.Y. Cheng and S.T. Yau. Differential equations on Riemann manifolds and their geometric applicationa. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 1975, 28(3): 333-354.

[38] L.K. Hua. Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domains. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1963.

[39] Qikeng Lu, The Classical Manifolds and the Classical Domains(in Chinese). Shanghai: Shanghai Scientific and Technical press, 1963.

[40] Weiping YIN, Zhengang ZHAO. Completeness of the Bergman metric, Advances in Mathematics(CHINA),2000, 29(2): 189-191.

[41] S.Dineen. The Schwarz Lemma. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1989.

W. YIN: DEPT. OF MATH., CAPITAL NORMAL UNIV., BEIJING 100037, CHINA
E-mail address: `wyin@mail.cnu.edu.cn; wpyin@263.net`

AN WANG: DEPT. OF MATH., CAPITAL NORMAL UNIV., BEIJING 100037, CHINA
E-mail address: `wangan@mail.cnu.edu.cn`